

Panaji, 7th June, 2007 (Jyaistha 17, 1929)

SERIES II No. 10



OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note:- There are three Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 9 dated 31-5-2007 as follows:-

- 1) Extraordinary dated 4-6-2007 from pages 433 to 434 regarding Notification from Department of Women & Child Development.
- 2) Extraordinary (No. 2) dated 5-6-2007 from pages 435 to 438 regarding Notification from Department of Transport.
- 3) Extraordinary (No. 3) dated 5-6-2007 from pages 439 to 440 regarding Notification from Department of General Administration.

3) Office Order No. 10-35/06-07/3151 dated 13-10-06.

4) Office Order No. 10-35/06-07/3495 dated 3-11-06.

In partial modification to the above referred Orders as required under Right to Information Act the following are hereby appointed as Public Information Officer, Assistant Public Information Officers and 1st Appellate Authority for the Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services, Panaji and Subordinate Offices under its jurisdiction.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Animal Husbandry

Directorate of Animal Husbandry
& Veterinary Services

Order

No. 2-4-79-AH/Part/07-08/770

Government of Goa is pleased to accept the resignation tendered by Shri Francisco Xavier Pacheco, Minister for Agriculture & Animal Husbandry to the post of Chairman, Goa Meat Complex Ltd., w.e.f. 13-5-07 before noon.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. B. Braganza, Director (AH) & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 18th May, 2007.

Order

No. 10-35/07-08/912

Read:- 1) Office Order No. 10-35/05-06/2280 dated 16-08-05.

2) Office Order No. 10-35/06-07/179 dated 7-9-06.

Sr. No.	Name & designation	Appointed as	Jurisdiction
1	2	3	4
1.	Dr. H. Faleiro, Dy. Director (Farms), Dte. of Animal Husbandry & Vety. Services, Patto, Panaji	Public Information Officer	Entire State of Goa
2.	Shri Srinet Kothwale, Deputy Director (Admn.), Dte. of Animal Husbandry & Vety. Services, Patto, Panaji	Assistant Public Information Officer	Tiswadi Taluka
3.	Dr. Salvador Vaz, Assistant Director Veterinary Hospital Sonsodo	Assistant Public Information Officer	Salcete Taluka Canacona Taluka Mormugao Taluka Quepem Taluka Sanguem Taluka
4.	Dr. A. D. P. Verlekar, Assistant Director Veterinary Hospital Honda	Assistant Public Information Officer	Bicholim Taluka Satari Taluka
5.	Dr. Santosh Dessai, Assistant Director Veterinary Hospital Curti Ponda	Assistant Public Information Officer	Ponda Taluka

1	2	3	4
6. Dr. Mrs. Greta	Assistant	Bardez Taluka	
D'Costa, Veterinary	Public In-	Pernem Taluka	
Officer Veterinary	formation		
Hospital Mapusa	Officer		

Dr. B. Braganza, Director (AH), Dte. of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services, Panaji shall function as the 1st Appellate Authority.

The Officers appointed hereinabove shall deal with all the matters under the Right to Information Act pertaining to the Department of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services and the PIO and 1st Appellate Authority shall furnish periodical reports to the Government from time to time.

The Assistant Public Information Officer shall ensure that the application received by him for information under RTI, 2005 are submitted on top priority to the Public Information Officer in order to furnish the information to the applicant within the stipulated period and within the provision of the Act.

This Order supersedes all other orders issued in this regard in the past and shall come in force with immediate effect.

Dr. B. Braganza, Director (AH) & ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 28th May, 2007.



Department of Co-operation

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of
Co-operative Societies

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/659/ADM/06

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, as applied to the State of Goa, the Rossan's Apartments Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Cruz, Ponda-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/620/5(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 7th March, 2007.

Certificate of Registration

The Rossan's Apartments Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Cruz, Ponda-Goa is registered on 7-03-2007 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/620/5(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society", under sub-classification No. 5(b), as "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society," in terms of Rule 9(1), of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 7th March, 2007.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/690/ADM/06

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, as applied to the State of Goa, The Lydia Gardens Co-operative Housing Society Limited, St. Inez, Panaji Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/621/5(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 22nd March, 2007.

Certificate of Registration

The Lydia Gardens Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Inez, Panaji-Goa is registered on 22-03-2007 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/621/5(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society", under sub-classification No. 5(b), as "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society," in terms of Rule 9(1), of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 22nd March, 2007.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/649/ADM/06

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9(1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, as applied to the State of Goa, the Shivalaya Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Durgabhat, Ponda-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/622/5(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 30th March, 2007.

Certificate of Registration

The Shivalaya Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Durgabhat, Ponda-Goa is registered on 30-03-2007 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/622/5(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society", under sub-classification No. 5(b), as "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society," in terms of Rule 9(1), of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (P. M. Naik), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 30th March, 2007.

Notification

No. 5-1136-2007/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, as applied to the State of Goa, 'The Surekha Heights Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Near Shiv Sagar Apartment, Ward No. 16, Mangor Hill, Vasco' is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-635/South Goa/2007.

Sd/- (A. K. Kamat), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 10th April, 2007.

Certificate of Registration

'The Surekha Heights Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Near Shiv Sagar Apartment, Ward No. 16, Mangor Hill, Vasco' has been registered on 10-04-2007 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-635/South Goa/2007 and it is classified as 'Housing Society' under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962, for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (A. K. Kamat), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 10th April, 2007.

Notification

No. 5-1137-2007/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960, as applied to the State of Goa, 'The New Palmar Residency Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Near Kadam Plaza Hotel, Fatorda' is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-636/South Goa/2007.

Sd/- (A. K. Kamat), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th April, 2007.

Certificate of Registration

'The New Palmar Residency Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Near Kadam Plaza Hotel, Fatorda' has been registered on 13-04-2007 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-636/South Goa/2007 and it is classified as 'Housing Society' under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962, for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (A. K. Kamat), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 13th April, 2007.

No. 18-417-2006/ARSZ/HSG/6745

Read:- 1) This Office Registration No. HSG-(a)-100/South Goa/95 dated 3rd January, 1995.

2) This Office Showcause Notice No. 18-417-2006/ARSZ/HSG/5075 dated 12th September, 2006, issued to the Patrakar Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Navelim, Salcete-Goa.

Whereas, Patrakar Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Navelim, Salcete-Goa, was registered under the code No. HSG-(a)-100/South Goa/95 dated 3rd January, 1995 with the main objects specified in the Bye-laws.

And whereas, since inception i.e. from the date of registration till date, the society is defunct without undertaking any activities nor fulfilling any objectives as specified in their Bye-laws. So also, it is observed that the society has not convened any General Body meeting since inception till date nor have maintained any Books of Accounts or any other records for the purpose of audit.

And whereas, to that effect a showcause notice dated 12th September, 2006, was served upon the Managing Committee Members to showcase in writing and to further appear before this office on 13-10-2006, as to why the aforesaid registration should not be cancelled. However, the contents of the same have not been adhered to by the Chief Promoter nor any written statements has been filed before this office in adherence to the above showcause notice dated 12-09-2006.

Since, the above land in question belongs to the Goa Housing Board and no land has not been allotted to the society as contended under letter dated 29th November, 2006 from the Managing Director, Goa Housing Board, the provisions of Section 102 and 103 of Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act have not been applied in this case to appoint a Liquidator for the purpose of Liquidation work of this Society, as such land has not been acquired by the society to be transferred in the name of its members.

And whereas, now having failed to adhere to the contents of the above showcause notice dated 12th September, 2006, I am fully satisfied and convinced that the Managing Committee members are no more interested to fulfil the objects as laid down in the bye-laws and hence, I pass the following Order.

ORDER

By virtue and in exercise of the powers vested in me under provisions of Section 21, of the Maharashtra Co-op. Societies Act, 1960, as made applicable to the State of Goa, I, A. K. Kamat, Assistant Registrar of Co-op. Societies, South Zone, Margao-Goa, hereby cancel the registration of the Patrakar Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Navelim, Salcete-Goa, bearing No. HSG-(a)-100/ /South Goa/95 dated 3rd January, 1995 w.e.f. the date of issue of this order. Further, the society shall from the date of such order of cancellation be deemed to be dissolved and shall cease to exist as a Corporate Body.

Given under my Hand and Seal of this office on this 27th day of February, 2007.

A. K. Kamat, Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 27th February, 2007.

Department of Labour

Notification

No. 28/18/2007-LAB/440

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa on 12-4-2007 in reference No. IT/23/2001-36 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Hanumant T. Toraskar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 10th May, 2007.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND

LABOUR COURT-I

GOVERNMENT OF GOA, AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Dilip K. Gaikwad, Presiding Officer)

Case No. IT/23/2001

Shri Vinayak Dangui,
Kirkhat, Nuvem, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Gold Star Electricals
and Gen. Co.,
Aquem, Alto, Margao, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I - Represented by Adv. Shri K. V. Nadkarni.

Employer/Party II - Represented by Adv. Shri B. G. Kamat.

Panaji, dated: 12-4-2007.

AWARD

(Passed on this 12th day of April, 2007)

This is a reference under Section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short the said Act 1947).

1. Facts giving rise to present reference, stated in brief, are as follows:

The Government of Goa in exercise of the powers conferred on it by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the said Act, 1947, has referred to this Tribunal following dispute for adjudication:-

Whether the action of the management of M/s. Gold Star Electrical and General Co., Margao, in refusing employment to Shri Vinayak Dangui, General Clerk, with effect from 31-8-2000, is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?

2. On receipt of the reference both parties are served with notice. In response to the notices they have put their appearance. The Party-I presented the statement of claim on 27-6-2007 (Exb. 4). According to him, he was in employment of the Party-II with effect from 8-3-1971. He was in continuous service. Last salary drawn by him is Rs. 2500/- per month. The Party-II M/s. Gold Star Electrical and General Co. was one of the concerns of M/s. Gold Star Group of Firms of which Ramnath Karpe was founder. Kishor Karpe who is son of Ramnath Karpe separated from parent concern M/s. Gold Star Group of Firms and took over M/s. Gold Star Electrical and General Co. i.e. Party-II. The said Kishor Karpe set up new establishment at Karpe Corporate, Opp. Pandava Chapel at Margao Goa. His services came to be transferred from parent concern M/s. Gold Star Group of Firms to the Party-II without official letter. He was paid salary till the month of March, 2000. Since thereafter he was made to sit idle though he was regularly attending his duties. He was stopped from signing muster roll w.e.f. the month of May, 2000. He is not paid with salaries for the months of May, June, July and August, 2000. In spite of the repeated request the said Kishor Karpe evaded to make payment of the due salaries. He is refused to have entry in the Party-II w.e.f. 31-8-2000. He sent letter on 27-9-2000 and requested the Party-II to clarify about his status. The Party-II by giving reply dated 9-10-2000 informed him that he has resigned from service w.e.f. 24-4-2000. In fact, he never resigned from the service either orally or in writing. The reply dated 9-10-2000 received by him was during pendency of conciliation proceedings before the Deputy Labour Commissioner, South Goa. He informed the Deputy Labour Commissioner that he did not give resignation of his service. The Deputy Labour Commissioner

requested the Conciliation Officer to intervene in the dispute by taking up the matter as Industrial Dispute. The Party-II did not remain present before the Conciliation Officer as a result the conciliation failed. Therefore, the Government of Goa, as stated earlier, referred the dispute to this Tribunal.

3. The Party-I by presenting the statement of claim has prayed for declaration that the action of the Party-II in refusing him to attend the duty w.e.f. 31-8-2007 is illegal and bad in law and for direction to the Party-II to reinstate him in service w.e.f. 31-8-2000 with full back wages and continuity in service.

4. The Party-II in answer to the statement of claim filed its written statement at Exb. 6. It appears from written statement that the Party-II is registered under partnership firm, dealing with business as distributors and dealers of two wheelers as C & F agents. The Party-I was appointed as Clerk w.e.f. 8-3-1971. He was working on the said post till 24-4-2000. He orally tendered resignation of his service on 24-4-2000 and requested to settle his entire dues. Since thereafter, he never reported to his duties. The Party-II requested the Party-I time and again to visit its office in connection with settlement of his dues, payable to him. In reply to the letter dated 27-9-2000 the Party-II informed the Party-I that the resignation given by him is accepted. Therefore the question of payment of salary for the months of June, July and August, 2000 does not arise. The Party-I after tendering resignation is working as a book writer with M/s. Radhkrishna Bhat, a Chartered Accountant from Margao, Goa. On these and above grounds the Party-II has prayed for rejection of the reference.

5. The Party-I filed his rejoinder on 10-9-2001 at Exb. 7. He denied that he has tendered resignation of his service either orally or in writing, and that, he is employed after 24-4-2000.

6. The then Learned Presiding Officer framed issued on 27-9-2001 at Exb. 8. The issues are recast by me and those are at Exb. 11. The recast issues are as follows:

1. Whether the Party No. I has orally resigned from service w.e.f. 24-4-2000 ?
2. Whether the Party No. II has refused employment to Party I w.e.f. 31-8-2000 ?
3. Whether refusal of employment to the Party No. I by the Party No. II is illegal and unjustified ?
4. Whether the Party No. I is employed after he has resigned w.e.f. 24-4-2000 ?
5. Whether the Party No. I is entitled to reliefs as claimed ?
6. What Award ?

7. My findings to the above issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1: In affirmative.

Issue No. 2: In negative.

Issue No. 3: In negative.

Issue No. 4: In negative.

Issue No. 5: In negative.

Issue No. 6: The reference does not survive and therefore it stands dismissed.

8. *Issue No. 1:* The Party-I examined himself at Exb. 10. It is not in dispute that the Party-I was working as a Clerk w.e.f. 8-3-1971 with the Party-II which was one of the concerns of M/s. Gold Star Group of Firms established by Ramnath Karpe. The Party-II started his own separate business in the month of December, 1999 after settlement of family business dispute. The Party-I continued to be in service of the Party-II till 24-4-2000.

9. It appears from evidence of the Party-I that he is paid with salary regularly till the month of March, 2000. Since thereafter he was made to sit idle. He signed on the muster roll till 30-4-2000. Thereafter he was not allowed to sign the muster roll. He was regularly attending his work till 31-8-2000. He is not paid with salary from the month of April, 2000. Therefore he wrote a letter dated 27-9-2000 to the Party-II. In response to this letter he received a reply wherein he was informed by the Party-II that he has resigned from service w.e.f. 24-4-2000. The claim of the Party-II that he has resigned from service is false.

10. The Party-II did not lead evidence in support of its contention raised in the written statement.

11. I heard Learned Advocate of the Party-II. Though it appears from evidence of the Party-I that he did not tender resignation of his service. What is apparent from his evidence, as rightly pointed out by the Learned Advocate, is not real state of thing. Xerox copy of form submitted by the Party-I is on record. It is in the documents which are exhibited as O-1, colly. The form is in respect of the Employees Provident Fund Scheme 1952. The Party-I has admitted in clear terms in his cross examination that the form is signed and filled in by him. The contents from this application together with admission given by the Party-I in his cross examination make it clear that he has tendered resignation of his service w.e.f. 1-5-2000, and that, the reason for tendering resignation is "for better prospects". The best possible conclusion which can be drawn is that the Party-I has resigned from his services w.e.f. 1-5-2000 as rightly submitted by Learned Advocate of Party-II. I agree with his argument. I, therefore, answer the issue in affirmative.

12. *Issue No. 2 & 3:* The Party-I was in continuous service for not less than one year under employment of

the Party-II. The workman employed in an industry who has been in continuous service for not less than one year under an employer cannot be retrenched without following procedure laid down under Section 25 F of the said Act, 1947. In the present case there is no retrenchment of the Party-I by the Party-II. It is proved that it is the Party-I who has voluntarily tendered resignation of his service. I therefore, hold that the issues as to whether the Party-II has refused the employment to the Party-I or as to whether the refusal of employment to the Party-I by the Party-II is illegal and unjustified, do not survive.

13. *Issue No. 4:* The Party-II has pleaded in paras No. 12 & 25 of its written statement that the Party-I after tendering resignation is working till date as a writer with M/s. Radhkrishna Bhatt, a Chartered Accountant from Margao, Goa. The Party-II did not lead evidence in support of the pleading. Evidence of the Party-I shows that he is unemployed w.e.f. 1-9-2000. Therefore and since there is no evidence on behalf of the Party-II, there is no alternative but to answer the issue in negative. I answer the issue accordingly.

14. *Issue No. 5:* The Party-I has prayed for declaration that, action of the Party-II in refusing to allow him to attend his duties w.e.f. 31-8-2000 is illegal and bad in law, and for direction to the Party-II to reinstate him in service w.e.f. 31-8-2000 with full back wages and continuity in service.

Section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, lays down that, no workman employed in any industry who has been in continuous service for not less than one year under the employer shall be retrenched by the employer until —

- (a) the workman has been given one month's notice in writing indicating the reasons for retrenchment and the period of notice has expired, or the workman has been paid in lieu of such notice, wages for the period of the notice;
- (b) the workman has been paid, at the time of retrenchment, compensation which shall be equivalent to fifteen days' average pay (for every completed year of continuous service) or any part thereof in excess of six months; and
- (c) notice in prescribed manner is served on the appropriate Government (or such authority as may be specified by the appropriate Government by notification in the Official Gazette).

In the present case, the Party-I who was employed is not retrenched from the service by the employer i.e. by the Party-II. On the contrary it is the Party-I who has given resignation of his service. Section 25 F of the said Act 1947 does not come into picture in this case. I, therefore, answer the issue in negative.

15. As a result of findings given to the issues No. 1 and 5, I hold that the reference does not survive and as such it is liable to be dismissed. In view of peculiar circumstances, it will be appropriate if the parties are left to bear their own cost of the reference. With this I pass following order.

ORDER

- 1) The reference does not survive and therefore it stands dismissed.
- 2) Both parties do bear their own cost of reference.
- 3) The Award be forwarded to the Government of Goa as per provisions of Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd/-
(Dilip K. Gaikwad),
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
-Labour Court-I.

Notification

No. 28/18/2007-LAB/444

The following Award passed by the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa on 12-4-2007 in reference No. IT/29/2003-35 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Hanumant T. Toraskar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 10th May, 2007.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND LABOUR COURT-I GOVERNMENT OF GOA, AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Dilip K. Gaikwad, Presiding Officer)

Case No. IT/29/2003

Smt. Nanda Shirodkar,
St. Pedro, Ribandar,
Ilhas, Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

Our Lady of Help,
Kindergarden School,
Ribandar, Ilhas, Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I - Represented by Adv. Gopal A. P. Mahamre and Palavi Salgaokar.

Employer/Party II - Represented by Adv. P. J. Kamat.

Panaji, dated: 12-4-2007.

AWARD

(Passed on this 12th day of April, 2007)

This is a reference under Section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short the said Act 1947).

1. Facts giving rise to present reference, stated in brief, are as follows:

The Government of Goa in exercise of powers conferred on it by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the said Act, 1947, has referred to this Tribunal following dispute for adjudication:-

Whether the action of the management of Our Lady of Help Kindergarden School, Ribandar, in terminating the services of Smt. Nanda Shirodkar, maid servant, with effect from 1-6-2001, is legal and justified? If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

2. On receipt of the reference both parties are served with notices. Party-I filed claim statement on 14-11-2003 at Exb. 4. According to her, the Party-II is a private school rendering education and other cultural activities to its students. She was working as maid servant continuously for a period of 14 years with the Party-II on meager salary of Rs. 750/- per month. The Party-II with ulterior motive alleged that she has skin disease. She has unblemished career. Without giving opportunity and also without following principles of natural justice the Party-II illegally and suddenly terminated her services w.e.f. 1-6-2001. Therefore she has prayed for relief that, termination of her services by the Party-II be declared as illegal and void and also for direction to the Party-II to reinstate her in service with continuity in service with full back wages.

3. The Party-II combated the claim statement by filing its written statement on 5-12-2003. The Party-II admitted that the Party-I was employed as its maid servant. Further, it appears from the written statement that the Party-I was employed as such on a part time scale from the month of April, 1996. She was entrusted with work of keeping class-room and school premises clean. Her initial pay was Rs. 400/- per month which is subsequently increased to Rs. 700/- per month. She was served with memos/warnings on account of her rude and disobedient behaviour. She is suffering from chronic skin disease i.e. scabies, which caused lot of discontent among the parents. Many parents of the students made complaints against her and threatened to withdraw their children from school. There was no alternative but to terminate services of the Party-I. She is paid a sum of Rs. 5000 as wages in lieu of notice and also gratuity for the years she worked. Termination of the Party-I is valid. The Party-II does not come within purview of the industry under the said Act, 1947. Therefore, the Party-II entreated for rejection of the reference.

4. The Party-I submitted rejoinder on 6-1-2004 at Exb. 6. She claims that she was initially engaged on permanent basis since the year 1987 and that she

worked as a servant till her services were illegally terminated on 1-6-2001.

5. The then learned Presiding Officer framed issues on 22-1-2004 at Exb. 7. Evidence of Party-I is recorded at Exb. 9. Documents produced by the Party-I are at Exb. W-1, W-2 and at Exb. W-3 colly. Thereafter, both the parties and their advocates filed consent terms at Exb. 10 and requested to pass award in terms of the settlement. The consent terms are verified. The consent terms show that the Party-I resigned from the services and that she has been paid Rs. 30,000/- in settlement of all claims. Since there is amicable settlement between the parties. I hold that the reference does not survive. With this I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. The reference does not survive and therefore it stands disposed off with no order as to cost.
2. The Award be forwarded to the Government as per provisions contained in Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sd/-
(Dilip K. Gaikwad),
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
-Labour Court-I.

◆◆◆

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 6/2/2002-PER(I)

Read: Order No. 6/2/2002-PER dated 30-05-2007.

Shri Nanu S. Pednekar, Deputy Collector (Rent Control), Panaji shall hold charge of the post of Additional Deputy Collector (Rent Control), Mapusa in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and until further orders.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 30th May, 2007.

Order

No. 6/2/2002-PER

Shri L. S. Pereira, Additional Dy. Collector (Rent Control), Mapusa, Bardez-Goa is transferred & posted as Deputy Collector & DRO, South Goa with immediate effect to function as Returning Officer for 39—Canacona & 40—Poinguinim Assembly Constituencies vice Shri Rajendra K. Satardekar.

Shri Pereira shall, however, continue to draw his salary against the post of Additional Dy. Collector (Rent Control), Mapusa.

This issues with the concurrence of Election Commission of India, New Delhi.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 30th May, 2007.

Order

No. 6/2/2002-PER (Part)

Read: Order No. 5-10-2006/ELEC/2591 dated 23-05-2007 issued by the Chief Electoral Officer, Goa.

In pursuance of Order cited above, Shri Elvis P. Gomes, Commissioner, Corporation of City of Panaji, is hereby relieved with immediate effect, with the direction to report to the office of the Chief Electoral Officer, Goa.

Shri Gomes shall, however, continue to draw his pay & allowances against the post of Commissioner, Corporation of City of Panaji.

Shri W. V. Ramana Murthy, Managing Director, EDC Ltd., shall hold the charge of the post of Commissioner, Corporation of City of Panaji in addition to his own duties till further orders.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 30th May, 2007.

Department of Public Health

Notification

No. 13/14/2003-I/PHD(Trg.)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (Central Act 37 of 1954) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), read with Rule 8 of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Rules, 1955 (hereinafter referred to as the "said Rules"), the Government of Goa hereby appoints the following officials to be food inspectors for the entire State of Goa, for the purposes of the said Act and the Rules, made thereunder and to assigned to them all the local areas of the State, with immediate effect.

- 1) Smt. Flavia Rita de Souza.
- 2) Shri Rajaram Pandhari Patil.
- 3) Shri Shailesh Ratnakar Shenvi.
- 4) Kum. Priya Madhav Kholkar.
- 5) Shri Shivdas Shashikant Naik.
- 6) Kum. Shraddha Pandurang Gaude Khandeparkar.
- 7) Kum. Yogeeta Dwarkanath Sirsat.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Joint Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 29th May, 2007.